UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Thomas Pelzer, aka Thomas Lee Pelzer, formerly # 311633,) C/A No. 8:14-88-TMC-JDA))
Plaintiff	,) ,)
VS.)
South Carolina, <i>Office of the Governor</i> , Nikki Haley,)))
Defenda	ants.)

This is a civil action filed by a former prisoner. Under Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2) DSC, pretrial proceedings in this action have been referred to the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge.

In an order [Doc. 9] filed in this case on January 14, 2014, the undersigned directed Plaintiff to bring this case into "proper form" by submitting a signed motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and by signing the Complaint on the signature page. The Clerk's Office mailed to Plaintiff a copy of the order of January 14, 2014, a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*, and the signature page of the Complaint [Doc. 11]. Plaintiff has failed to respond to the order of January 14, 2014.

Recommendation

Accordingly, it is recommended that the District Court dismiss the above-captioned case without prejudice and without service of process because Plaintiff has not responded to the order of January 14, 2014. See In Re: Procedures in Civil Actions Filed by Non-

Prisoner Pro Se Litigants, Misc. No. 3:07-MC-5015-JFA (D.S.C. Sept. 18, 2007). Plaintiff's attention is directed to the important Notice on the next page.

s/ Jacquelyn D. Austin United States Magistrate Judge

April 17, 2014 Greenville, South Carolina

Notice of Right to File Objections to Report and Recommendation

Plaintiff is advised that he may file specific written objections to this Report and Recommendation with the District Judge. **Objections must specifically identify the portions of the Report and Recommendation to which objections are made and the basis for such objections.** "[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310 (4th Cir. 2005) (*quoting* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

Specific written objections must be filed within fourteen (14) days of the date of service of this Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); see Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a), (d). Filing by mail pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5 may be accomplished by mailing objections to:

Robin L. Blume, Clerk of Court
United States District Court
300 East Washington Street — Room 239
Greenville, South Carolina 29601

Failure to timely file specific written objections to this Report and Recommendation will result in waiver of the right to appeal from a judgment of the District Court based upon such Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984).